



Parking Lot



Guiding Principles: PARTICIPANT Worksheet



INSTRUCTIONS

1. First choose a table moderator and time-keeper

2. Then please review the 10 principles outlined in the attached hand-out.

- How well will the proposed guiding principles help ensure that the siting process is **fair** and **appropriate**?
- Why? Why not?
- What additions and/or revisions, if any, should be made?
- Are there any critical gaps?

3. Keeping these questions in mind, please rate each principle using the following key:

✓: This principle will help ensure the siting process is fair and appropriate

✗: I am concerned that this principle, as presented, will NOT help ensure the siting process is fair and appropriate

?: I don't understand / am not sure about this principle

4. Don't forget to jot down your suggested revisions/additions!

Guiding Principles: PARTICIPANT Worksheet

Principle		Rating: ✓ ✗ ?	Revisions / Additions
1.	Focus on safety		
2.	Informed and willing "host community"		
3.	Focus on nuclear provinces		
4.	Right to withdraw		

Guiding Principles: PARTICIPANT Worksheet

Principle		Rating: ✓ ✗ ?	Revisions / Additions
5.	Aboriginal rights, treaties and land claims		
6.	Shared decision-making		
7.	Inclusiveness		
8.	Informing the process		

Guiding Principles: PARTICIPANT Worksheet

Principle		Rating: ✓ ✗ ?	Revisions / Additions
9.	Community well-being		
10.	Regulatory review		
11.	Other?		

Guiding Principles: MODERATOR Instructions



OBJECTIVE: to obtain feedback on the proposed guiding principles, with a view to identifying those that are widely accepted (✓), those which raise concerns (✘) and those which require further clarification (?); and to identify ideas for strengthening the guiding principles.

DISCUSSION QUESTIONS:

- How well will the proposed guiding principles help ensure that the siting process is **fair and appropriate**?
- Why? Why not?
- What additions and/or revisions, if any, should be made?
- Are there any critical gaps?

INSTRUCTIONS

STEP 1: 5 minutes

- Invite participant to refer to the Guiding Principles handout
- Review the instructions provided on the Participant Worksheet
- Ask participants to take 5 minutes to silently assess each guiding principle, and to complete the Participant Worksheet as directed
- Remind participants to also write down their suggested revisions/additions to the formulation of the principles – acknowledge that they might not have suggestions for each principle

Guiding Principles: MODERATOR Instructions



INSTRUCTIONS (CONTINUED)

STEP 2: 20 minutes

- After 5 minutes (or sooner, if everyone appears to have completed the task), initiate a round-table review of participants' assessment of each principle
- Begin with principle 1 and record, on the **Table Summary Worksheet**, how each person rated this principle (✓, ✘, ?)
- Record any questions/concerns/revisions/additions brought forward. Encourage people not to repeat ideas but to bring forward new ideas only
- Don't forget to add your own assessment and ideas
- Repeat the process with each of the remaining principles

STEP 3: 10 minutes

- Tally the final "rating" for each principle, using the **Table Summary Worksheet**
- Review the final tally with your table, in preparation for you table's report back to plenary
- The Note-taker will come around to record your table's final tally ratings to total with other tables
- The Facilitator will collect Participant Worksheets and Table Summary Worksheet at the end of the exercise

Table #: _____ Table moderator: _____

		Participant #									
Principle		P1	P2	P3	P4	P5	P6	P7	P8	Total	Revisions/ Additions
10.	Regulatory review									✓:	
										✗:	
										?:	
11.	Other?										

Unpacking the 9 Steps: MODERATOR Instructions



OBJECTIVE: to review / refine / strengthen the 9 steps of the proposed site selection process individually and collectively.

DISCUSSION QUESTIONS:

- Is this 9-step siting process **fair and appropriate**? Why? Why not?
- Are there any critical elements and considerations missing or not adequately addressed?
- How might we strengthen each of the individual steps and/or the process as a whole to make it as fair and appropriate as possible?

INSTRUCTIONS:

STEP 1: 8 minutes

- Invite participants to refer to the *Moving Forward Together – Summary – Proposed Process for Selecting a Site – At a Glance* handout
- Ask participants to take 5-7 minutes to silently assess each step, and to record their questions/concerns OR suggested refinements on post-it notes provided at the table.

IMPORTANT:

- ! 1 thought per sheet
- ! Use large and legible print – use Sharpie markers provided
- ! Indicate which Step your comment relates to, or whether it relates to the “Process as a whole”
- ! If your idea relates to multiple steps, write a copy of it on another post-it for each step to which it applies

INSTRUCTIONS (CONTINUED)

STEP 2: 30 minutes

- After 5-7 minutes (or sooner, if everyone appears to have completed the task), initiate a round-table review of participants' thoughts on each step, starting with Step 1
 - ! You will be working through the 9 steps sequentially.
 - ! Emphasis should be on Steps #2 to #7 (address Steps #8 and #9 only if time permits)
- Participants place their Step 1 post-its in the middle of the table:
 - ! Ask one volunteer to present his/her idea
 - ! To avoid repetition, ask others to cluster similar ideas together OR add checkmarks to a core idea that adequately represents other similar ones
 - ! Repeat until all Step 1 ideas have been reviewed
 - ! Put aside the group's "final cut" of ideas for Step 1
- Repeat the process with each of the remaining steps

STEP 3: 15 minutes

- Review and discuss the group's "final cut" of ideas/actions
- If necessary/helpful, rewrite post-its to better encapsulate a cluster of similar ideas OR to clarify/strengthen an idea to better reflect the group's discussion

STEP 4: 5 minutes ("Switch")

- When the "switch" is called, moderator with 2-3 volunteers place the table's post-its on Activity 1 wall under the appropriate Step # title card (i.e. Step#1, Step#2, Step#3 and so on)
- If you are part of Round 2, aim to cluster your ideas with similar ones already on the wall where obvious



Step 1

The NWMO initiates the siting process





Step 2

For communities who would like to learn more, an initial screening is conducted





Step 3


For interested communities, a preliminary assessment of potential suitability is conducted





Step 4


For interested communities, potentially affected surrounding communities are engaged and detailed site evaluations are completed





Step 5

Communities with confirmed suitable sites decide whether they are willing to accept the project and negotiate the terms and conditions of a formal agreement to host the facility with the NWMO





Step 6

The NWMO and the community with the preferred site enter into a formal agreement to host the project





Step 7

***A centre of expertise is established,
and construction and operation of
an underground demonstration
facility proceeds***



Step 8

Regulatory authorities review the safety of the project and, if all requirements are satisfied, give their approvals to proceed



Step 9

*Construction and operation of the
facility*





Process as a whole





Station A1

Informed & Willing Communities







Station A2


**Informed & Willing
Communities**






Supporting communities to
become well informed of the
advantages and challenges
of becoming a host site





Helping the community to demonstrate that those living in the local area are willing to become a host site





Station B1

**Community Well-
Being**





Station B2

**Community Well-
Being**






Consideration of social, economic and cultural effects

Rating:

✓ ✘ ?





Enhancing the community's / region's long-term sustainability

Rating:

✓ ✗ ?





Availability of physical and social infrastructure

Rating:

✓ ✗ ?





Avoiding ecologically sensitive areas and locally significant features

Rating:

✓ ✘ ?





Minimizing the effects of transportation

Rating:

✓ ✗ ?





Other?

Rating:

✓ ✗ ?

